

Form LETTER K

2783

Chambers, Laura M.

From: PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Sheila Erlbaum [serlbaum@psd.org]  
Sent: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:47 PM  
To: EP, RegComments  
Subject: Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 1 RECD  
INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 30, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.
- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.
- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sheila Erlbaum  
7150 Bryan St  
Phila, PA 19119-2406  
(215) 242-1295

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Sierra Bingham [ferntree8@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 30, 2009 5:17 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** PA Rivers and Streams deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 30, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sierra Bingham  
133A Lucknow Rd  
Harrisburg, PA 17110-1632  
(717) 238-3203

2783

Chambers, Laura M.

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Deanna Prine [ravenwolf18@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 30, 2009 3:47 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 17 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 30, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Deanna Prine  
201 Brown Rd  
Wexford, PA 15090-8559  
(724) 799-8138

2783

Chambers, Laura M.

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Holly Williams [holly.wms2@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 30, 2009 3:17 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 17 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 30, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Holly Williams  
1303 Wheatland Ave  
Lancaster, PA 17603-4720  
(717) 293-1108

2 443  
2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Mark Zettler [energia3@netzero.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 30, 2009 1:17 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 30, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Zettler  
442 2nd St  
Northumberland, PA 17857-1247  
(570) 473-8252

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Todd Garcia-Bish [leep@lutherlyn.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 30, 2009 11:17 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

Nov 30, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

**2783**

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Todd Garcia-Bish  
108 Entwood Ln  
Butler, PA 16002-9362  
(724) 865-9079

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Ron & Nancy Bergey  
[bergeynh@nwboronet.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 30, 2009 8:46 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 17 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 30, 2009

2783

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ron & Nancy Bergey  
245 E Neshannock Ave  
New Wilmington, PA 16142-1119  
(724) 946-8405

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Anna Coyne [aecoyn@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 30, 2009 12:16 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 29, 2009

2783

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Miss Anna Coyne  
3111 Doe Run Church Rd  
E Fallowfield, PA 19320-4459  
(215) 518-2631



**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Robert Merin [rmerin@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 29, 2009 4:45 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 29, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

2783

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Merin  
200 N Wynnewood Ave Apt A506  
Wynnewood, PA 19096-1412  
(610) 896-7124

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Ronald Barus [rjbarus@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, November 28, 2009 6:42 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 28, 2009

2783

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald Barus  
143 Hunting Creek Rd  
Canonsburg, PA 15317-2321  
(412) 276-0116

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Stephen Wood [thewoodssk@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, November 28, 2009 7:42 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Appropriate Buffers for all our streams

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 28, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

2783

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Wood  
47 Springhouse Ln  
Media, PA 19063-5354  
(610) 566-4284

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Ray Scheetz [scheetzini@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 29, 2009 9:44 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

2783

Nov 29, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ray Scheetz  
244 W Walnut St  
Palmyra, PA 17078-2329  
(717) 838-1461

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Stacy Moir [stacy.moir@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 29, 2009 12:44 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

Nov 29, 2009

2733

DEC 7 REC'D

EQB EQB EQB

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Stacy Moir  
193 Hidden Lake Dr  
Centre Hall, PA 16828-9154  
(814) 364-9685

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Jason Berteotti [jester18@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Friday, November 27, 2009 2:39 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

Nov 27, 2009

2783

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jason Berteotti  
245 Grace Ave  
Canonsburg, PA 15317-1034  
(412) 296-1177

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Sarah Caspar [scaspar@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Friday, November 27, 2009 5:40 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 1 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 27, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

**2783**

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sarah Caspar  
525 Hopewell Rd  
Downingtown, PA 19335-1220  
(610) 873-1237

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Randi Lorah [rklorah@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, November 27, 2009 11:39 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 27, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

**2783**

RECEIVED

DEC 1 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Randi Lorah  
3715 Leyland Dr  
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050-9165  
(717) 732-6491



**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Bob Johnson [bob@rivercubes.net]  
**Sent:** Friday, November 27, 2009 1:39 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 27, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

**2783**

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Dr. Bob Johnson  
1717 Buena Vista St  
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-3919  
(412) 321-5148

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Henry Berkowitz [hlemc@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 10:35 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 25, 2009

2783

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Henry Berkowitz  
141 Sperry Rd.  
Sabinsville, PA 16943-9749  
(814) 367-5760

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Patricia Greiss [greissp@pa.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 11:05 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 25, 2009

2783

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Greiss  
198 1/2 York Rd  
Carlisle, PA 17013-3151  
(717) 243-7678

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of tina horowitz [tinah53374@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 26, 2009 12:36 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 25, 2009

2783

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

EQB EQB EQB

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. tina horowitz  
4701 Pine St Apt M8  
Philadelphia, PA 19143-7002  
(215) 471-1930

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Brian Shumaker [susqguide@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 26, 2009 7:06 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 26, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

2783

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Shumaker  
209 Oak Knoll Rd  
New Cumberland, PA 17070-2836  
(717) 774-2307

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Dennis Coffman  
[dcstreamwalker@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 26, 2009 11:37 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 26, 2009

2783

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dennis Coffman  
153 Hiddenwood Dr  
Harrisburg, PA 17110-3928  
(717) 541-1389

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Ramona sahni [ramonasahni@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 8:35 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 25, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

2783

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Dr. Ramona sahni  
46 Mallard Dr  
Pittsburgh, PA 15238-1129  
(412) 767-0217

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Beth Dzwil [bdzwil@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 4:05 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 25, 2009

**2783**

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

RECEIVED  
DEC 7 REC'D  
INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beth Dzwil  
8106 Hull Dr  
Wyndmoor, PA 19038-7510  
(215) 542-6941



**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Lloyd Goodman [lloyd1956@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 2:35 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 25, 2009

2783

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lloyd Goodman  
717 Harrison Rd  
Villanova, PA 19085-1112  
(610) 687-4675

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Walter Lee [wjleeiv@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 1:04 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 25, 2009

2783

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Walter Lee  
304 Ruskin Dr  
Altoona, PA 16602-2920  
(814) 942-3601

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Benjamin Nebroski [nebro@paonline.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 1:04 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 25, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Benjamin Nebroski  
217 Francis L Cadden Pkwy Apt 104  
Harrisburg, PA 17111-2209  
(717) 558-8372

RECEIVED

2783

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of David Fiedler [davefiedler@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 1:34 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 25, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

**2783**

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Fiedler  
5188 Judson Dr  
Bensalem, PA 19020-3849  
(215) 946-5308

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Martha Kirby  
[mzkirby@urgrad.rochester.edu]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 12:34 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** PA waterways need stronger protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 10 REC'D

Nov 25, 2009

2783

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Martha Kirby  
4000 Gypsy Ln Unit 313  
Philadelphia, PA 19129-5417  
(215) 824-2428

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Geneva Daniels [birder552001@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 12:04 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

Nov 25, 2009

2783

DEC 7 REC'D

EQB EQB EQB

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Geneva Daniels  
3901 Market St Apt 1922  
Philadelphia, PA 19104-3131  
(215) 222-0916

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Janet Bargh  
[janet.bargh@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 11:34 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

Nov 25, 2009

2783

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Bargh  
2114 Canterbury Dr  
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055-5763  
(717) 766-7148

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Stephen Kunz [spkunz@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 9:34 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 25, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

2783

RECEIVED  
DEC 7 REGD  
INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Kunz  
1015 Brookwood Dr  
Phoenixville, PA 19460-2222  
(610) 935-1495



**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Melissa & Bill Hance  
[bill@billhance.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 9:34 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

Nov 25, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

2783

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Melissa & Bill Hance  
503 S Ridley Creek Rd  
Media, PA 19063-4223  
(610) 892-3878

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Steven Frasch  
[steven.frasch@mwhglobal.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 9:34 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

2783

Nov 25, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Frasch  
365 W Pothouse Rd  
Phoenixville, PA 19460-2362  
(610) 983-9817

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of peter oswald [sunrise@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 9:04 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 RECD

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 25, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

2783

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. peter oswald  
104 S William St  
Girardville, PA 17935-1821  
(570) 276-1661

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Earl & Harvene Lynch  
[earl\_lynch@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 9:04 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 25, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

**2783**

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Earl & Harvene Lynch  
Deer Creek Watershed Association  
880 Dorseyville Rd  
Pittsburgh, PA 15238-1104  
(412) 767-5510

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Joyce Crowley [sirona1@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 9:04 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 25, 2009

2783

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Joyce Crowley  
2127 Elder Ave  
Morton, PA 19070-1240  
(484) 478-8009

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Thomas Nelson [twnelson@erols.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 12:33 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

Nov 24, 2009

2783

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Nelson  
105 Drexel Ave  
Lansdowne, PA 19050-1304  
(610) 626-2577

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Barry & Kitty Tuscano  
[barrytuscano@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 5:04 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

Nov 25, 2009

2783

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barry & Kitty Tuscano  
354 Grave Yard Hill Rd  
Bolivar, PA 15923-2010  
(724) 676-4713

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Arlene Adelman  
[herb.arlene@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 6:04 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 25, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

2783

RECEIVED  
DEC 7 REC'D  
INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Arlene Adelman  
635 Loretta St  
Pittsburgh, PA 15217-2823  
(412) 521-1966



**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Eileen Conner [warcon@npacc.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 6:34 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 25, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

**2783**

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Eileen Conner  
RR 2 Box 720  
Gillett, PA 16925-9543  
(570) 537-3392

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of James Wurster [jwurster@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 6:34 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 25, 2009

2783

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

INDEXED  
REVIEW COMMISSION

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Wurster  
953 Rocklynn Rd  
Springfield, PA 19064-3924  
(610) 328-3824

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Thomas Graves  
[littleblackduck@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 7:04 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 1 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 25, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

**2783**

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Graves  
248 Magnolia Dr  
Holtwood, PA 17532-9704  
(717) 284-4427

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Rommy Driks  
[driks.family@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 8:04 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 25, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

**2783**

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rommy Driks  
52 Providence Ave  
Doylestown, PA 18901-2267  
(215) 230-4225

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Edward Bala [efb@psu.edu]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 8:04 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 25, 2009

2783

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Edward Bala  
171 Villa Crest Dr  
State College, PA 16801-7950  
(814) 466-6784

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Sean Duffin [seanduffin@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 8:34 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 25, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

**2783**

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sean Duffin  
749 Old Eagle School Rd  
Wayne, PA 19087-2009  
(610) 687-1660

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Heather Fowler [heatherdfowler@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 8:34 AM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

**RECEIVED**

DEC 7 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Nov 25, 2009

**2783**

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heather Fowler  
10770 Airview Dr  
Irwin, PA 15642-4285  
(724) 863-2197